

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.       |                       | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 08/902,153            | 08/902,153 07/29/1997 |             | KEN HASHIMOTO        | 826.1410/JDH            | 9897             |
| 21171                 | 7590                  | 08/27/2004  |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| STAAS &               |                       | Y LLP       | . BHATTACHARYA, SAM  |                         |                  |
| SUITE 700<br>1201 NEW |                       | VENUE, N.W. |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20005  |                       |             |                      | 2685                    | 3 2              |
|                       |                       |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 08/27/2004 | <b>3</b> 3       |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| i i   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 08/902,153  | HASHIMOTO, KEN  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Sam Bhattacharya  | 2685  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication<br>Period for Reply  | appears on the cover sheet wi   | th the correspondence address   |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ON.  R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a non.  a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON that tatute, cause the application to become AB | eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2   | ?2 June 2004.   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   | This action is non-final.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| · <u> </u>  | <del>,</del>  |   |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice und  | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 65-68 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 65-68 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are  | drawn from consideration.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan  | niner.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐  | ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to   | •   | • •   |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co-  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   | s Examiner. Note the attached   | office Action of form F10-132.  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a  | nents have been received.<br>nents have been received in A<br>priority documents have been<br>reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | pplication No received in this National Stage   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   | ,, <del>,</del> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,   | (DTO 440)   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948'  |   | Summary (PTO-413)<br>S)/Mail Date   |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | <i>'</i>  | nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 08/902,153

Art Unit: 2685

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 22, 2004 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 65-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. The relationship between the "monitored portable information terminal" and the "monitoring portable information terminal," recited in the claims 65-68, is unclear. If the monitoring portable information terminal monitors the monitored portable information terminal, for example, then the claims should be amended to recite this.
- 5. Claim 68 recites the limitations "the monitored portable information terminal" and "the monitoring portable information terminal" in lines 4-5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 08/902,153

Art Unit: 2685

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 7. Claims 65, 66 and 68, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeLorme et al. (US 5,848,373) in view of Bird (US 5,418,537).

Regarding claims 65, 66 and 68, DeLorme et al. disclose a map location system including a map data storage unit storing map data (see col. 24, lines 1-23), an instruction receiving unit receiving instructions to display a relative position between the monitoring terminal 15 and the monitored terminal 15a (see FIGS. 1-4, and col. 21, line 40 - col. 22, line 6), a self-position information acquisition unit obtaining the position information of the monitoring portable information terminal (GPS receiver, see col. 21, lines 56 - col. 22, line 6), a map retrieval unit searching the map data storage unit for map data representing the positions of the monitoring and monitored terminals (see col. 23, line 41 - col. 24, line 47), and a display unit 18 displaying the map data retrieved by the map retrieval unit. Note that the monitoring terminal 15 monitors the positions of itself and other terminals on the display 18, and the monitored terminal 15a is monitored by the monitoring terminal as well as by satellites. DeLorme et al. fail to disclose requesting by the monitoring terminal position information of the monitored terminal from a central system and a receiving the requested position information at the monitoring terminal.

However, Bird discloses a location system that includes a request unit at 11 requesting a central system 15, which manages position information of a monitoring portable information terminal and a monitored portable information terminal 13, to obtain position information of the monitored portable information terminal, and a position information receiving unit at 11

Page 3

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 08/902,153

Art Unit: 2685

receiving the position information of the monitored portable information terminal. See FIG. 1, and col. 6, lines 23-68. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the map location system of DeLorme et al. by requesting position information of a monitored terminal and receiving the information as taught by Bird so that the monitoring terminal is notified whether the monitored terminal is missing or out of range at a particular time.

8. Claim 67, as best understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeLorme et al. (US 5,848,373) in view of Bird (US 5,418,537), and further in view of Kawamoto (US 6,167,277).

The combination of DeLorme et al. and Bird fails to disclose a map data request unit requesting the central system to obtain map data indicating both the position of the monitored and monitoring terminals by transmitting the position information of both.

Kawamoto discloses a positional information display system in which a first mobile terminal 20A includes a map data request unit requesting a central station 25 to obtain map data indicating its position and the position of a second terminal 20B, and the central station transmits the data to the first mobile terminal in response to the request. See FIG. 1, col. 6, lines 17-36 and the Abstract. Moreover, one skilled in the art would have recognized that the map data request could be provided as an alternative to retrieving stored map data when the map data retrieval was not possible due to a malfunction in the retrieval, for example. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the map location system of DeLorme et al. in view of Bird by requesting map data of both terminals and receiving

Art Unit: 2685

the data as taught by Kawamoto to receive timely and updated information as to the relative positions of the mobile terminals.

#### Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Schipper (US 5,669,061) discloses a tracking system in which a user inquires a central station about the location of a vehicle, and the vehicle location is provided on a map with cell boundaries.

## Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 65-68 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sam Bhattacharya whose telephone number is (703) 605-1171. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward F. Urban can be reached on (703) 305-4385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 08/902,153

Art Unit: 2685

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sb

QUOCHIEN B. VUONG PRIMARY EXAMINER

Sinthen Ba Blung 8/21/04